## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/056.930 LINDEN ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Tran N. Nguyen 2834 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Tran N. Nguyen. (3)\_\_\_\_. (2) Eric Sosenko, attorney of record. (4) . Date of Interview: 05 June 2003. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_ Claim(s) discussed: 4 and 5. Identification of prior art discussed: cited references in the record. Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Sosenko agrees and authorize the Examiner to amend the present application by the Examiner's Amendment (see Office Action for more detail) . (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims

allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required